



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/488,752	01/21/2000	S. Paul Tucker	10991620.1	4859
75	90 09/10/2002			
Hewlett-Packard Company Intellectual Property Administration P O Box 272400			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, PHU K	
Fort Collins, Co) 80528-9599		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2671	

DATE MAILED: 09/10/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 09/488.752

Applicant(s)

TUCKER et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Phu K. Nguyen

Art Unit **2671**



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on *Jul 1, 2002* 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) X This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** is/are pending in the application. 4) 🗶 Claim(s) 1-10 4a) Of the above, claim(s) none is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Laims ______ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). a) \square All b) \square Some* c) \square None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3.
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). PRICARY EXAMINER 670'FP 2400 Attachment(s) 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 20) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/488,752 Page 2

Art Unit: 2671

به همر دستمها د

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over FREDRICKSON et al. (5,131,080) in view of ALCORN et al. (5,185,856).

As per claim 1, Fredrickson teaches the claimed "display system" comprising:

"A memory" (Fredrickson, figure 2B); and

"An attribute system" (Fredrickson, column 10, lines 1-60).

It is noted that Fredrickson does not explicitly teach "attribute data" as claimed. However, Fredrickson's (X, Y, Z) coordinate and color attributes (R, G, B) or overlay data (memory RAM 98) suggests the storing of "logical regions and attribute data" as claimed. Furthrmore, Alcorn teaches that such attribute data is well known in the art (Alcorn, column 4, lines 29-35). Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, in view of the teaching of Alcorn, to configure Fredrickson's system as claimed by implementing the blendinf data, or specular and diffuse parameters, ... as the attribute data as claimed.

Applicant's arguments filed July 1, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Applicant argues that the cited references do not teach the use of "attribute data to select which logical regions of frame buffer memory to read in the displaying a

Application/Control Number: 09/488,752 Page 3

Art Unit: 2671

given tile of the pixel" which is not correct. For example, Fredrickson's overlay data is used to dicide whether the pixel in the region to be displayed or not, which is equivalent to the "selected logical regions displayed in the screen." Furthermore, Alcorn teaches that pixel's attribute data (e.g., depth data) can be used to decide whether the pixels in the region can be used for displaying is also equivalent to the claimed "attribute data." It is also noted that the Fredrickson and Alcorn attribute data (e.g., overlay data or depth information) allows system to select the graphics data fewer than of all logical regions based on said attribute data.

Claim 2 adds into claim 1 that the graphics data and attribute data are stored in physically separate memories which Fredrickson teaches in figure 2B with different memory planes.

Due to the similarity of claims 3-6, 7-8, and 9-10 to claims 1-2, they are rejected under a similar reason.

Accordingly, the claimed invention as represented in the claims does not represent a patentable distinction over the art of record.

Conclusion

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

Art Unit: 2671

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any response to this action should be mailed to: 4.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703)-308-9051 (formal communications intended for entry), Or:

(703)-305-9724 (informal communications labeled PROPOSED or DRAFT).

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Sixth Floor Receptionist, Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phu K. Nguyen, whose telephone number is (703)-305-9796 and can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 6:30 AM to 3 PM.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Phu K. Nguyen

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2671